

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,482	07/16/2003	Detlef Cordts	331.1045	9078
23280	7590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	3679	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/620,482	CORDTS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Greg Binda	3679				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE M - Extensing after SI - If the pi - If NO pi - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set of the	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen incation. days, a reply within the statutory mir utory period will apply and will expire rill, by statute, cause the application to the statute.	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>14 April 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2t	o)☐ This action is non-fin	al.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 13-17 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 13-17 is/are rejected is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consider					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2005</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Δ	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority december of the priority december of the certified copies of the priority december of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been rece locuments have been rece f the priority documents ha al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Nationa !(a)).	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s	s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	O-152)			



Application/Control Number: 10/620,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Apr 14, 2005 has been entered.

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because in the detailed description there is no mention of how the "elastomer layers 20" at page 4, line 12 are connected to the other parts of the disclosed invention.
- 4. The detailed description is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the following claimed subject matter:
 - a. Claim 1, line 9 and claim 6: "at least three identical articulated levers". No less than four levers are described at page 4, line 5.
 - b. Claim 2, lines 2 & 3: "at least three identical articulated second levers"
 - c. Claim 6: "each corresponding axial plane passes through a center of two of the articulated levers"

Application/Control Number: 10/620,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

d. Claim 16: all limitations therein

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1-7, 11 & 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerard, EP 0 592 297. Fig. 12 shows a coupling comprising an intermediate shaft 50 with a shaft axis joined at each end by articulated lever couplings to driving and driven shaft members. Figs. 1-6 shows each shaft is provided with a connecting flange 20 that integrally includes at least three radially and axially projecting claw 22, 23 having a plurality of conical bearing journals 14, 24. Figs. 7-11 show that the pairs bearing journals 14, 24 are connected by elastic lever couplings 30 so that the joint axis of each lever are disposed parallel to each other and perpendicular to the shaft axis.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant argues that the specification objections should be withdrawn because the summary provides a description of the invention. Be that as it may, the objections will remain until such time the detailed description of the invention includes a detailed

Application/Control Number: 10/620,482

Art Unit: 3679

description of the invention. Said detailed description will, at a minimum, include a

detailed description of the features of invention covered by the appended claims

Page 4

including a description of how those features are connected to each other to comprise an

assembled unit. (It appears from his arguments that applicant believes there is language

in the rules that defines a "detailed description" as something that is not a detailed

description of the invention. If applicant's intent is to continue to argue what a "detailed

description" is not, then it would helpful if applicant included in that argument a

definition of what he believes a detailed description is.)

b. Applicant argues that Gerard fails to show the joint axes of each lever as parallel to

each other. However, as noted in the rejection above, Gerard does show such features.

See particularly Fig. 9 where the axes of the joints 14 & 24 are clearly parallel to each

other.

Conclusion

8. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of

the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art

of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under

37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action

after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,482 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GREGORY J. BINDA PRIMARY EXAMPLE